

2000.23

Full transcript

Freeholders!

A plain Statement of the Question.

MR. FULLER

Has been one of your representatives in three successive Parliaments.

He did not ask for that honourable Situation; he was called upon to accept it.

Uninfluenced by all Ministers; unconnected with all Oppositions; wanting neither Place nor Pension, he has on every Occasion, in and out of Parliament, spoken boldly the language of FIRM INDIPENDANCE:

He has uniformly acted on the found Principles of Honesty and Integrity.

He has supported our good old King in the Hour of Need, against an Attempt first to impose, and then to force upon him measure in favour of the ROMAN CATHOLICS, which was against his Conscience;

And he will continue to support the King and the Constitution of his Country, unawed by CLAMOUR, and undismayed by VIOLENCE.

He has the good Wishes of many of the first Characters of the County, who have witnessed his Conduct in Parliament, and approve it, and who love their King.

He is reprobated for having opposed the *immediate* Abolition of the Slave Trade.

He opposed it because he thought it must occasion Lots of our West India Colonies, without which OLD ENGLAND must sink under the Burthens of Taxation, and its NAVY must be ruined.

If he erred (and who is always free from Error?) he was not alone – Lord *Sidmouth*, Lord *St Vincent*, and many others of the ablest and most virtuous Men in England, voted as he did.

He is a Friend to *gradual* Abolition for he detests Slavery as much as any Man.

In manly Language on the Hustings, he challenged the World to prove he had ever acted with inhumanity.

Then why suffer a tried Supporter of this King in the hour of Need, to be borne down by the *pitiful, unmanly, and silly* cry of “No Slavery?”

Why turn out Mr. FULLER?

MR. SERGISON

Was nominated by Mr. Kemp, who on the two great Questions lately brought forward by Mr. Brand and Littleton, supported the Men whom the King had dismissed from his Councils, for having attempted, first to impose, and then to force upon him, a measure in Favour of the ROMAN CATHOLICS, which was against his Conscience.

He was seconded by Mr. Hare Naylor, who avowed, on the Hustings, his Approbation of the Measures of the late Administration, to unite as he called it, THE ENERGIES OF THE ROMAN CATHOLICS WITH THE PROTESTANTS.

And he was supported by a noble Duke who is a Papist.

Then what have you to expect from Mr. SERGISON?

